## SACRAMEXNTO

## Sacramento County Resident Views of Budget Priorities

Key Findings of a Survey Conducted October 27-November 8, 2021

## Survey Methodology

## Dates

Survey Type
Research Population
Total Interviews
Margin of Sampling Error

Contact Methods

Data Collection Modes

Languages

October 27-November 8, 2021
Dual-mode Resident Survey
Adult Residents of Sacramento County 1,153
$\pm 3.5 \%$ at the $95 \%$ Confidence Level


Postcard Invitations


Text Invitations


Telephone Interviews


Online Interviews

The survey was available in English, Spanish, Arabic, Chinese, Farsi, Hmong, Punjabi, Russian, Tagalog and Vietnamese

# Respondent Profile 

## Residents are drawn from communities in proportion to the population.

Do you live in an unincorporated area outside of city limits, or in a city?


## They also represent the county's adult population demographics by age and gender.

In what year were you born?


What is your gender?
Do you have any children under the age of 19 living at home?


## Respondents come from a mix of educational backgrounds and household income.

Level of Formal Education


## More than half of respondents identify with a race or ethnicity other than white.

With which racial or ethnic group do you identify yourself:
Latino or Hispanic, White or Caucasian, Black or African American, Asian or Pacific Islander, Native American or American Indian, multiracial, or some other ethnic or racial background?


## More than one-quarter of respondents say they speak a language other than English at home regularly.

And just to make sure we are representing County residents of all backgrounds in the survey, were you born in the United States, or another country?

Rather
Not Say
1\%


Do you regularly speak a language other than English at home?

Rather


## More than two in five have personally experienced homelessness or know someone who has.

Have you or a close friend or family member ever experienced a period of homelessness?

Do you own your residence, rent your residence, or do you not have stable housing?


| No stable housing | $2 \%$ |
| ---: | ---: |
| Rather not say | $3 \%$ |

# Many respondents have lived in the County for more than $\mathbf{2 0}$ years. 

Were you born and raised in Sacramento County?


## County Context

# A majority of respondents feel the county is off on the wrong track. 

Would you say things in Sacramento County are going in the right direction, or are they off on the wrong track?


## The top concern they want the County to address, by far, is homelessness.

In a few words of your own, what is the most important problem that you would like Sacramento County government to address?
(Open-ended; Responses 2\% and Above Shown)


## Verbatim Responses on Most Important Problem for Sacramento County to Address

| Crime and |
| :---: |
| homelessness. |
| Homeless need <br> housing. |

Homelessness. Improving police accountability.

Homeless population (help them, not harass them)! Repave the roads is second.

Water table, crime, road conditions.

My street which hasn't had any work other than the occasional pothole patch in over 30 years.

> The cost or renting and buying a home is unaffordable, especially for new home buyers.

Reforming the sheriff's office culture. More non-uniformed mental health teams responding to police calls.

Public transit to greater areas of Sacramento.

Racism, and young Black men being killed.

# Homelessness is nearly universally seen as a "very serious" problem, followed closely by housing costs. 



## Homelessness and housing costs are the top issues across racial and ethnic groups.

(Extremely/Very Serious Problem)

| Issue | All Residents | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ Pacific Islander Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Homelessness | 93\% | 95\% | 93\% | 89\% | 92\% | 92\% |
| The cost of housing | 78\% | 75\% | 82\% | 83\% | 82\% | 81\% |
| The economic impact of the coronavirus | 68\% | 63\% | 70\% | 77\% | 69\% | 71\% |
| Drought | 66\% | 69\% | 65\% | 70\% | 61\% | 64\% |
| Crime | 64\% | 65\% | 67\% | 57\% | 58\% | 63\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 63\% | 63\% | 60\% | 73\% | 56\% | 62\% |
| The cost of healthcare | 63\% | 61\% | 69\% | 74\% | 65\% | 67\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 57\% | 54\% | 62\% | 69\% | 49\% | 58\% |
| The public health impact of the coronavirus | 55\% | 47\% | 57\% | 75\% | 65\% | 62\% |
| Climate change | 53\% | 48\% | 53\% | 66\% | 63\% | 58\% |
| Traffic | 50\% | 49\% | 52\% | 53\% | 47\% | 51\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 50\% | 43\% | 49\% | 58\% | 59\% | 55\% |
| Illegal dumping | 48\% | 45\% | 52\% | 54\% | 48\% | 50\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 54\% | 33\% | 40\% |
| Availability of safe drinking water | 37\% | 32\% | 35\% | 54\% | 36\% | 40\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 35\% | 34\% | 38\% | 42\% | 33\% | 36\% |

Q3. I'd like to read you a brief list of issues that could be problems for people living in Sacramento County. Please tell me whether you personally consider

## Street and road conditions are especially concerning in District 3.

(Extremely/Very Serious Problem)

| Issue | All Residents | Board of Supervisors District |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Homelessness | 93\% | 96\% | 94\% | 92\% | 91\% | 93\% |
| The cost of housing | 78\% | 83\% | 81\% | 77\% | 76\% | 75\% |
| The economic impact of the coronavirus | 68\% | 71\% | 69\% | 68\% | 65\% | 67\% |
| Drought | 66\% | 70\% | 61\% | 72\% | 67\% | 61\% |
| Crime | 64\% | 64\% | 63\% | 63\% | 65\% | 64\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 63\% | 70\% | 58\% | 63\% | 66\% | 60\% |
| The cost of healthcare | 63\% | 70\% | 59\% | 64\% | 59\% | 66\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 57\% | 52\% | 52\% | 70\% | 57\% | 54\% |
| The public health impact of the coronavirus | 55\% | 61\% | 60\% | 50\% | 45\% | 57\% |
| Climate change | 53\% | 61\% | 57\% | 51\% | 47\% | 48\% |
| Traffic | 50\% | 52\% | 55\% | 45\% | 46\% | 51\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 50\% | 51\% | 51\% | 49\% | 48\% | 51\% |
| Illegal dumping | 48\% | 50\% | 47\% | 48\% | 45\% | 48\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 35\% | 39\% | 39\% |
| Availability of safe drinking water | 37\% | 43\% | 38\% | 34\% | 31\% | 36\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 35\% | 39\% | 37\% | 38\% | 35\% | 28\% |

## In homes where a language other than English is spoken, jobs are a slightly bigger concern.

(Extremely/Very Serious Problem)

| Issue | All Res. | Language Other than English Spoken at Home |  | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Homelessness | 93\% | 94\% | 93\% | 95\% | 92\% | 93\% | 95\% |
| The cost of housing | 78\% | 81\% | 77\% | 83\% | 75\% | 72\% | 89\% |
| The economic impact of the coronavirus | 68\% | 72\% | 67\% | 70\% | 68\% | 63\% | 74\% |
| Drought | 66\% | 65\% | 66\% | 69\% | 65\% | 65\% | 67\% |
| Crime | 64\% | 62\% | 64\% | 64\% | 63\% | 68\% | 58\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 63\% | 57\% | 65\% | 66\% | 62\% | 65\% | 61\% |
| The cost of healthcare | 63\% | 65\% | 63\% | 68\% | 60\% | 60\% | 68\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 57\% | 55\% | 57\% | 61\% | 54\% | 58\% | 56\% |
| The public health impact of the coronavirus | 55\% | 59\% | 54\% | 59\% | 53\% | 50\% | 63\% |
| Climate change | 53\% | 59\% | 51\% | 56\% | 51\% | 50\% | 57\% |
| Traffic | 50\% | 53\% | 49\% | 51\% | 49\% | 50\% | 51\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 50\% | 55\% | 48\% | 55\% | 46\% | 45\% | 58\% |
| Illegal dumping | 48\% | 52\% | 46\% | 52\% | 45\% | 49\% | 47\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 39\% | 40\% | 42\% | 38\% | 37\% | 44\% |
| Availability of safe drinking water | 37\% | 38\% | 36\% | 41\% | 33\% | 34\% | 39\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 35\% | 36\% | 35\% | 39\% | 32\% | 36\% | 35\% |

# General Views of the Budget 

## Two in five residents say they follow the County budget at least "somewhat closely."

I am going to ask you some questions that deal with the Sacramento County budget. As you may know, Sacramento county government is responsible for providing health and human services to all residents of Sacramento County. In addition, in unincorporated areas outside of cities, the county provides residents with additional services that city governments provide elsewhere, like law enforcement and street and road maintenance.

How closely do you follow issues related to the Sacramento County budget?


Don't know 1\%

## Among those with an opinion on the budget, most assume the County has a deficit.

Thinking about this upcoming few years, do you think that Sacramento County will start its budget process with a budget surplus, a balanced budget, or a budget deficit?


## Three in five see a need for "great" or "some need" for new funding in principle.

Would you say that to provide essential services, the County of Sacramento has a great need for additional funding, some need, a little need, or no real need for additional funding?


## Resident Priorities for the County Budget

## Assessing County Services



- Respondents were asked to rate the importance of several aspects of local life as extremely, very, somewhat or not too important to making Sacramento County a good place to live.
- Then they were asked whether they were satisfied or dissatisfied with a list of services and programs designed to help achieve those qualities.
- The interaction of importance and satisfaction levels shows areas of strength and areas for improvement.


## Low levels of homelessness and crime; child abuse prevention; and reliable water are seen as key to quality of life.

I am going to read you a list of aspects of life in Sacramento County. Please tell me, in your opinion, how important each one is to making it a good place to live: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you have no opinion, you can tell me that too.
$\square$ Ext. Impt. $\square$ Very Impt. $\square$ Smwt. Impt. $\square$ Not Too Impt. $\square$ Don't Know

## Ext./Very

 Impt. 91\%| Has low levels of homelessness | 63\% | 28\% | 6\% | 91\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 56\% | 33\% | 9\% | 88\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 56\% | 31\% | 9\% | 87\% |
| Low levels of crime | 52\% | 35\% | 10\% | 87\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 45\% | 40\% | 11\% | 85\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 56\% | 27\% | 11\% | 83\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 49\% | 34\% | 13\% | 82\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 47\% | 34\% | 13\% | 81\% |

# Residents also strongly value affordable housing and disaster preparation. 

■ Ext. Impt.
■ Very Impt.

- Smwt. Impt.

Not Too Impt.
Don't Know
Ext./Very Impt.

| Has housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 51\% | 28\% | 14\% | 7\% | 79\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 41\% | 38\% | 14\% | 5\% | 79\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 44\% | 35\% | 17\% |  | 78\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 39\% | 39\% | 18\% |  | 78\% |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 45\% | 32\% | 16\% | 5\% | 77\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 37\% | 40\% | 17\% |  | 77\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 34\% | 43\% | 20\% |  | 77\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 34\% | 40\% | 19\% | 5\% | 74\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 33\% | 40\% | 22\% |  | 73\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 30\% | 42\% | 21\% | 5\% | 73\% |

# Lower-ranking priorities include retail and shopping opportunities. 

$■$ Ext. Impt. ■ Very Impt.

Smwt. Impt.
Don't Know
Ext./Very Impt.
Low levels of air pollution

| $32 \%$ | $35 \%$ | $23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

A wide variety of local businesses $\quad 28 \% \quad 39 \% \quad 25 \%$

| Prepared for climate change | $33 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Humanely cares for stray and

| $26 \%$ | $31 \%$ | $28 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |57\%


| Low levels of incarceration | $24 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Well-maintained and convenient library buildings

| $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $33 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Adequate retail and shopping opportunities

| $16 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $36 \%$ | $18 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Residents are broadly satisfied with waste disposal, clean water, parks, and libraries.

I am going to mention some services and programs that Sacramento County provides. I would like you to tell me how satisfied you are personally with the job being done by the County in providing that program or service: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied. If you have no opinion or don't know about a service I mention to you, you can tell me that too.
$\square$ Very Satis. $\square$ Smwt. Satis. $\square$ No Opin./Don't Know $\square$ Smwt. Dissatis. $\square$ Very Dissatis.
Providing waste disposal and recycling services
$32 \% \quad 41 \% \quad 8 \% 12 \% 7 \%$
$\square$

| $27 \%$ | $42 \%$ | $10 \% ~ 14 \% ~ 7 \%$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $20 \%$ | $46 \%$ | $8 \% \quad 18 \%$ | $9 \%$ |


| $19 \%$ | $40 \%$ | $26 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Administering elections
$26 \% \quad 30 \% \quad 12 \% 12 \% \quad 20 \%$
15\% $40 \% \quad 23 \% \quad 14 \% 8 \%$
$13 \% \quad 38 \% \quad 18 \% \quad 20 \% \quad 12 \%$

Providing a reliable supply of clean water
Maintaining County parks and recreation areas

Maintaining library buildings


Preparing for public health emergencies

Total Total Sat. Dissat. 73\% 20\%

# A majority is dissatisfied with maintenance of County streets and roads. 

■ Very Satis.Smwt. Satis. No Opin./Don't Know
$\square$ Smwt. Dissatis.

- Very Dissatis.

Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies

Providing sheriff's patrols



| Attracting and retaining local businesses | 10\% | 34\% | 17\% | 19\% | 19\% | 44\% | 38\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintaining County streets and roads | 10\% | 32\% | 22\% | 32\% |  | 42\% | 54\% |
| Providing senior services | 11\% | 29\% | 31\% | 18\% | \% 11\% | 40\% | 29\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 10\% | 30\% | 19\% | 22\% | 19\% | 40\% | 41\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 8\% | 32\% | 22\% | 23\% | 16\% | 40\% | 39\% |

# Fully four in five are dissatisfied with the County's efforts to prevent homelessness. 

■ Very Satis.<br>■ Smwt. Satis.<br>■ No Opin./Don't Know<br>- Smwt. Dissatis.<br>- Very Dissatis.

Total Total Sat. Dissat.
Providing child and adult protective services

Planning to address the impacts of climate change

Preventing child abuse and neglect
Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes
Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs

Providing mental health services
Enforcing nuisance codes
Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families

Monitoring people on probation
Preventing homelessness

| $10 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $16 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $29 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10 \%$ | $27 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $14 \%$ | $37 \%$ | $31 \%$ |
| $9 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $19 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $34 \%$ | $36 \%$ |
| $9 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $40 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $30 \%$ | $42 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $46 \%$ |
| $8 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $32 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $29 \%$ | $39 \%$ |
| $7 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $13 \%$ | $22 \%$ |  | $38 \%$ | $27 \%$ |
| 7 | $60 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 \%$ | $18 \%$ | $39 \%$ | $15 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $25 \%$ | $35 \%$ |
| $6 \% 8 \%$ | $5 \%$ | $14 \%$ |  | $67 \%$ |  | $14 \%$ |

## A number of key items that are more important to quality of life also have lower satisfaction ratings.



## Allocating the County Budget

## On average, residents allocate more funding to public safety and homelessness than other areas.

I am going to ask you to imagine you are in charge of the Sacramento County budget. I would like you to tell me how you would prioritize County spending for 6 broad areas.
For this exercise, assume you have $\$ 100$ to spend. After I read you all 6 key areas, please tell me how many dollars out of \$100 you would spend on each, keeping in mind that the total must add up to \$100.


## Residents in Districts 3, 4 and 5 assign a bit more of their $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 0}$ to public safety.

Allocation of \$100 Budget by Board of Supervisors District

| $\square$ Public safety | $\square$ Homelessness | $\square$ Infrastructure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Social services | $\square$ Economic development | $\square$ Parks and environment |


| 1 | \$18.80 | \$22.40 | \$15.90 | \$16.60 | \$14.00 | \$12.30 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | \$19.60 | \$19.30 | \$17.30 | \$16.40 | \$16.40 | \$11.00 |
| 3 | \$21.80 | \$22.00 | \$18.10 | \$15.30 | \$12.80 | \$10.00 |
| 4 | \$23.40 | \$20.10 | \$18.20 | \$15.20 | \$12.60 | \$10.50 |
| 5 | \$24.10 | \$16.90 | \$17.50 | \$16.30 | \$14.40 | \$10.80 |

## White and Latino residents assigned greater shares to public safety as well.

Allocation of \$100 Budget by Race/Ethnicity

| $\square$ Public safety | $\square$ Homelessness | $\square$ Infrastructure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Social services | $\square$ Economic development | Parks and environment |


|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| White Residents | $\$ 24.60$ | $\$ 21.00$ | $\$ 17.60$ | $\$ 14.70$ |
|  | $\$ 11.80 \$ 10.40$ |  |  |  |


| Latino Residents | $\$ 21.50$ | $\$ 19.80$ | $\$ 17.20$ | $\$ 16.00$ | $\$ 13.90$ | $\$ 11.60$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| African American Residents | $\$ 15.40$ | $\$ 22.60$ | $\$ 17.50$ | $\$ 17.00$ | $\$ 15.50$ | $\$ 12.10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { Asian/Pacific Islander Residents } & \$ 17.10 & \$ 18.30 & \$ 17.20 & \$ 17.70 & \$ 18.40 & \$ 11.30\end{array}$

| All Residents of Color | $\$ 18.80$ | $\$ 19.80$ | $\$ 17.10$ | $\$ 17.10$ | $\$ 15.80$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

# Notably, those with and without ties to homelessness assign about the same amount to that priority. 

Allocation of $\$ 100$ Budget by Tie to Homelessness \& Residence

■ Public safety

- Social services
- Homelessness
- Economic development
- Infrastructure
- Parks and environment

| Tie to Experience of <br> Homelessness | $\$ 19.20$ | $\$ 21.10$ | $\$ 17.20$ | $\$ 17.80$ | $\$ 14.00$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | $\mathbf{\$ 1 0 . 8 0}$


| Homeowners | $\$ 24.60$ | $\$ 19.20$ | $\$ 17.60$ | $\$ 15.20$ | $\$ 12.80$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\$ 10.60$ |  |  |  |  |
| Renters | $\$ 17.40$ | $\$ 21.00$ | $\$ 16.80$ | $\$ 17.20$ | $\$ 15.90$ |

# County Resident Service Delivery 

## Half of residents have interacted with a County department or employee since 2019 or so.

Over the last 2 years, have you interacted with a County department or employee?


Those more likely to have had County employee contact include people with an experience of homelessness, postgraduate educated residents, and people in their 40s.

## About one-quarter with some County contact interacted with the Sheriff's department.

Which department or departments did you have contact with? (Open-ended; Multiple Responses Accepted; Asked of Those With Contact Only, n=592)


## The primary method of reaching the County was by phone.

Was your contact with the County ...?
(Multiple Responses Accepted; Asked of Those With Contact Only, $n=592$ )


## Seven in ten were satisfied with the customer service they received from the County.

Would you say that you are very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, not too satisfied, or not at all satisfied with the overall level of customer service you received?
(Asked of Those With Contact Only, n=592)



Don't know 1\%

## Those satisfied felt they received a helpful resolution

 to their issue, or commented on the prompt and friendly service provided.In a few words of your own, what went well with service you received?
(Open-ended; Asked of Those With Contact Only, n=410)


## Verbatim Responses from Those Satisfied



## Those who were dissatisfied wanted a friendlier, more caring attitude.

In a few words of your own, what - if anything - could have been done to improve the service you received? (Open-ended; Asked of Those With Contact Only, n=174)


## Verbatim Responses from Those Dissatisfied



My interactions with the county sheriffs only illustrate the absolute contempt the sheriff's office feels towards the citizens they are "serving."

Efficacy, follow through, direct help, less hold time.

## On the whole, those with County contact were broadly satisfied with the courtesy, professionalism, and willingness to help of the departments they reached.

Generally speaking, please tell me how you rate the following aspects of the service provided by the County departments you have dealt with: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied.

| Courtesy | $\square$ Smwt. Satis. | w -Smwt. Dissatis. - |  | ■ Very Dissatis. | Total Sat. 74\% | Tota Dissat24\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 46\% | 27\% | 9\% | 15\% |  |  |
| Professionalism | 48\% | 27\% | 11\% | 12\% | 75\% | 23\% |
| Willingness to help | 46\% | 23\% | 12\% | 16\% | 69\% | 29\% |
| Knowledge | 43\% | 30\% | 11\% | 13\% | 73\% | 24\% |
| Timeliness | 37\% |  |  | \% | 61\% | 35\% |

## Conclusions

## Conclusions

- County residents are generally dissatisfied with the direction of the area - a trend common to urban West Coast communities grappling with homelessness and affordability challenges.
- Overall, homelessness is the top concern facing residents: more than half see it as the top issue they want the County to act on, and more than four in five are dissatisfied with the County's work preventing homelessness. On average, they would allocate a greater proportion of the county budget to this issue than anything besides public safety.
- Mental health services and provision of affordable housing for low- and moderateincome residents are also items that residents believe are very important to Sacramento County's quality of life, but where they are less satisfied with the impact of County services.
- Most say they don't follow the budget very closely, and many do not know whether the County expects a surplus or deficit. Among those with an opinion, though, more believe there is a deficit than a surplus, and in principle, three in five believe the County has at least "some need" for new funding.
- Customer service ratings are high, with broad satisfaction on courtesy, professionalism, willingness to help, knowledge and timeliness among those reporting an interaction with a County department in the last two years.


## For more information,

 contact
## fм3 <br> RESEARCH

OPINION RESEARCH \& STRATEGY

1999 Harrison St., Suite 2020 Oakland, CA 94612 Phone (510) 451-9521 Fax (510) 451-0384

## Dave Metz

Dave@FM3research.com

## Miranda Everitt

Miranda@FM3research.com

## Appendix

## Problem Seriousness by Gender and Age

(Extremely/Very Serious Problem)

| Issue | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residents | Men | Women | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| Homelessness | 93\% | 91\% | 96\% | 92\% | 93\% | 96\% | 96\% | 94\% | 82\% |
| The cost of housing | 78\% | 72\% | 84\% | 87\% | 80\% | 78\% | 78\% | 78\% | 62\% |
| The economic impact of the coronavirus | 68\% | 67\% | 70\% | 66\% | 72\% | 65\% | 73\% | 68\% | 65\% |
| Drought | 66\% | 65\% | 68\% | 59\% | 65\% | 62\% | 71\% | 80\% | 68\% |
| Crime | 64\% | 62\% | 67\% | 51\% | 69\% | 59\% | 73\% | 63\% | 63\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 63\% | 62\% | 64\% | 50\% | 66\% | 67\% | 66\% | 64\% | 67\% |
| The cost of healthcare | 63\% | 58\% | 67\% | 68\% | 65\% | 58\% | 65\% | 62\% | 57\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 57\% | 57\% | 58\% | 52\% | 61\% | 46\% | 59\% | 69\% | 62\% |
| The public health impact of the coronavirus | 55\% | 49\% | 59\% | 61\% | 52\% | 39\% | 62\% | 57\% | 55\% |
| Climate change | 53\% | 47\% | 57\% | 61\% | 49\% | 45\% | 50\% | 63\% | 51\% |
| Traffic | 50\% | 52\% | 49\% | 39\% | 54\% | 44\% | 55\% | 52\% | 60\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 50\% | 47\% | 54\% | 58\% | 57\% | 37\% | 51\% | 44\% | 50\% |
| Illegal dumping | 48\% | 48\% | 49\% | 42\% | 50\% | 44\% | 56\% | 41\% | 50\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 35\% | 43\% | 42\% | 37\% | 36\% | 38\% | 51\% | 37\% |
| Availability of safe drinking water | 37\% | 36\% | 37\% | 34\% | 39\% | 35\% | 39\% | 35\% | 31\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 35\% | 33\% | 38\% | 30\% | 36\% | 34\% | 39\% | 36\% | 34\% |

## Problem Seriousness by City or Town

(Extremely/Very Serious Problem)

| Issue | All Residents | City/Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sacto. | Citrus Heights | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elk } \\ & \text { Gove } \end{aligned}$ | Folsom | *Galt | *Rancho Cordova | Unincorp. |
| Homelessness | 93\% | 95\% | 91\% | 92\% | 88\% | 100\% | 91\% | 93\% |
| The cost of housing | 78\% | 81\% | 74\% | 77\% | 74\% | 63\% | 70\% | 79\% |
| The economic impact of the coronavirus | 68\% | 71\% | 62\% | 73\% | 65\% | 57\% | 50\% | 68\% |
| Drought | 66\% | 70\% | 70\% | 63\% | 74\% | 26\% | 62\% | 64\% |
| Crime | 64\% | 64\% | 60\% | 59\% | 57\% | 100\% | 65\% | 65\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 63\% | 64\% | 76\% | 53\% | 56\% | 100\% | 60\% | 63\% |
| The cost of healthcare | 63\% | 65\% | 67\% | 67\% | 56\% | 57\% | 74\% | 60\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 57\% | 53\% | 59\% | 43\% | 46\% | 90\% | 62\% | 64\% |
| The public health impact of the coronavirus | 55\% | 60\% | 44\% | 63\% | 49\% | 40\% | 56\% | 51\% |
| Climate change | 53\% | 59\% | 46\% | 50\% | 54\% | 13\% | 58\% | 50\% |
| Traffic | 50\% | 54\% | 41\% | 48\% | 52\% | 76\% | 43\% | 48\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 50\% | 51\% | 42\% | 60\% | 51\% | 22\% | 50\% | 49\% |
| Illegal dumping | 48\% | 47\% | 55\% | 44\% | 34\% | 74\% | 52\% | 49\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 41\% | 41\% | 36\% | 37\% | 43\% | 41\% | 38\% |
| Availability of safe drinking water | 37\% | 42\% | 28\% | 34\% | 33\% | 43\% | 38\% | 34\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 35\% | 38\% | 35\% | 21\% | 40\% | 50\% | 39\% | 36\% |

Q3. I'd like to read you a brief list of issues that could be problems for people living in Sacramento County. Please tell me whether you personally consider

## Problem Seriousness by Household Income

(Extremely/Very Serious Problem)

| Issue | All Residents | Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <\$50,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000- \\ & \$ 150,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$150,000+ |
| Homelessness | 93\% | 95\% | 93\% | 96\% | 92\% |
| The cost of housing | 78\% | 85\% | 80\% | 82\% | 69\% |
| The economic impact of the coronavirus | 68\% | 74\% | 65\% | 65\% | 69\% |
| Drought | 66\% | 70\% | 70\% | 68\% | 58\% |
| Crime | 64\% | 62\% | 60\% | 70\% | 62\% |
| Government waste and mismanagement | 63\% | 64\% | 62\% | 61\% | 63\% |
| The cost of healthcare | 63\% | 67\% | 62\% | 61\% | 56\% |
| The condition of streets and roads | 57\% | 60\% | 53\% | 60\% | 49\% |
| The public health impact of the coronavirus | 55\% | 67\% | 52\% | 46\% | 54\% |
| Climate change | 53\% | 59\% | 53\% | 55\% | 45\% |
| Traffic | 50\% | 51\% | 46\% | 61\% | 40\% |
| Jobs and unemployment | 50\% | 65\% | 48\% | 46\% | 34\% |
| Illegal dumping | 48\% | 50\% | 44\% | 50\% | 49\% |
| The quality of our air | 39\% | 52\% | 34\% | 40\% | 31\% |
| Availability of safe drinking water | 37\% | 45\% | 33\% | 40\% | 25\% |
| Blight and abandoned buildings | 35\% | 39\% | 32\% | 37\% | 29\% |

# Broad majorities of residents in each supervisorial district value similar aspects. 

| Aspect | All Residents | Board of Supervisors |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Has low levels of homelessness | 91\% | 90\% | 94\% | 89\% | 88\% | 91\% |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 88\% | 86\% | 89\% | 90\% | 86\% | 90\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 87\% | 90\% | 81\% | 87\% | 86\% | 92\% |
| Low levels of crime | 87\% | 84\% | 87\% | 80\% | 94\% | 89\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 85\% | 86\% | 87\% | 80\% | 83\% | 87\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 83\% | 79\% | 79\% | 87\% | 88\% | 85\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 82\% | 84\% | 82\% | 87\% | 81\% | 79\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 81\% | 86\% | 78\% | 79\% | 82\% | 80\% |
| Has housing affordable to lowand middle-income families | 79\% | 84\% | 87\% | 80\% | 69\% | 75\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 79\% | 84\% | 78\% | 77\% | 74\% | 83\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 78\% | 79\% | 79\% | 73\% | 79\% | 81\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 78\% | 80\% | 72\% | 84\% | 79\% | 76\% |

# Low levels of incarceration is slightly more valued in Districts 1 and 2. (Extremely/Very Important) 

| Aspect | All Residents | Board of Supervisors |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 77\% | 78\% | 82\% | 76\% | 80\% | 69\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 77\% | 73\% | 75\% | 74\% | 79\% | 81\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 77\% | 75\% | 80\% | 74\% | 79\% | 74\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 74\% | 71\% | 72\% | 74\% | 75\% | 77\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 73\% | 67\% | 78\% | 71\% | 74\% | 75\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 73\% | 74\% | 74\% | 70\% | 74\% | 70\% |
| Low levels of air pollution | 67\% | 72\% | 64\% | 62\% | 63\% | 72\% |
| A wide variety of local businesses | 67\% | 69\% | 69\% | 65\% | 63\% | 70\% |
| Prepared for climate change | 58\% | 65\% | 60\% | 54\% | 53\% | 60\% |
| Humanely cares for stray and neglected animals | 57\% | 60\% | 54\% | 65\% | 56\% | 52\% |
| Low levels of incarceration | 52\% | 57\% | 58\% | 47\% | 50\% | 46\% |
| Well-maintained and convenient library buildings | 51\% | 57\% | 57\% | 51\% | 42\% | 48\% |
| Adequate retail and shopping opportunities | 44\% | 40\% | 46\% | 44\% | 45\% | 43\% |

## Residents of color assign a higher value to housing affordable to low- and middle-income families.

| (Extremely/Very Important) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Aspect | All Residents | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ Pacific Islander Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Has low levels of homelessness | 91\% | 91\% | 93\% | 95\% | 88\% | 92\% |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 88\% | 86\% | 93\% | 91\% | 88\% | 91\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 87\% | 85\% | 88\% | 94\% | 89\% | 89\% |
| Low levels of crime | 87\% | 86\% | 86\% | 89\% | 92\% | 89\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 85\% | 81\% | 89\% | 83\% | 89\% | 88\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 83\% | 86\% | 80\% | 76\% | 83\% | 81\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 82\% | 82\% | 84\% | 91\% | 78\% | 84\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 81\% | 81\% | 83\% | 83\% | 78\% | 82\% |
| Has housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 79\% | 71\% | 84\% | 94\% | 87\% | 86\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 79\% | 77\% | 83\% | 90\% | 80\% | 82\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 78\% | 75\% | 81\% | 90\% | 79\% | 82\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 78\% | 77\% | 80\% | 78\% | 77\% | 79\% |

E Q7. I am going to read you a list of aspects of life in Sacramento County. Please tell me, in your opinion, how important each one is to making it a good place to live: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you have no opinion, you can tell me that too.

## They also value climate change preparation more.

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All <br> Residents | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ Pacific Islander Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 77\% | 77\% | 76\% | 85\% | 77\% | 78\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 77\% | 75\% | 76\% | 85\% | 77\% | 79\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 77\% | 74\% | 73\% | 86\% | 83\% | 79\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 74\% | 70\% | 79\% | 77\% | 76\% | 77\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 73\% | 73\% | 69\% | 76\% | 81\% | 74\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 73\% | 70\% | 73\% | 84\% | 73\% | 75\% |
| Low levels of air pollution | 67\% | 63\% | 71\% | 80\% | 66\% | 71\% |
| A wide variety of local businesses | 67\% | 65\% | 70\% | 76\% | 72\% | 70\% |
| Prepared for climate change | 58\% | 52\% | 62\% | 76\% | 72\% | 66\% |
| Humanely cares for stray and neglected animals | 57\% | 57\% | 63\% | 57\% | 51\% | 58\% |
| Low levels of incarceration | 52\% | 44\% | 59\% | 58\% | 61\% | 59\% |
| Well-maintained and convenient library buildings | 51\% | 42\% | 61\% | 65\% | 54\% | 59\% |
| Adequate retail and shopping opportunities | 44\% | 41\% | 43\% | 54\% | 46\% | 46\% |

Q7. I am going to read you a list of aspects of life in Sacramento County. Please tell me, in your opinion, how important each one is to making it a good place to live: extremely important, very important, somewhat important, or not too important. If you have no opinion, you can tell me that too.

## Accessible mental health services are slightly more important to those in multilingual homes.

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All Res. | Language Other than English Spoken at Home |  | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Has low levels of homelessness | 91\% | 93\% | 90\% | 92\% | 90\% | 90\% | 91\% |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 88\% | 87\% | 89\% | 91\% | 87\% | 88\% | 89\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 87\% | 85\% | 88\% | 89\% | 87\% | 87\% | 88\% |
| Low levels of crime | 87\% | 87\% | 87\% | 85\% | 88\% | 89\% | 84\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 85\% | 87\% | 84\% | 87\% | 83\% | 81\% | 90\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 83\% | 82\% | 84\% | 84\% | 84\% | 85\% | 81\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 82\% | 80\% | 84\% | 87\% | 80\% | 82\% | 84\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 81\% | 77\% | 83\% | 84\% | 79\% | 79\% | 85\% |
| Has housing affordable to lowand middle-income families | 79\% | 85\% | 77\% | 87\% | 74\% | 72\% | 89\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 79\% | 81\% | 79\% | 80\% | 80\% | 78\% | 83\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 78\% | 78\% | 79\% | 80\% | 77\% | 76\% | 82\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 78\% | 78\% | 78\% | 78\% | 78\% | 81\% | 75\% |

## Other priorities are similar across languages and ties to homelessness and homeownership.

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All Res. | Language Other than English Spoken at Home |  | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 77\% | 77\% | 77\% | 78\% | 76\% | 77\% | 79\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 77\% | 76\% | 77\% | 80\% | 75\% | 75\% | 79\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 77\% | 81\% | 75\% | 76\% | 77\% | 78\% | 78\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 74\% | 73\% | 74\% | 74\% | 74\% | 76\% | 72\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 73\% | 72\% | 74\% | 72\% | 74\% | 72\% | 77\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 73\% | 71\% | 73\% | 73\% | 72\% | 74\% | 73\% |
| Low levels of air pollution | 67\% | 67\% | 66\% | 69\% | 66\% | 65\% | 69\% |
| A wide variety of local businesses | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 67\% | 68\% | 67\% | 69\% |
| Prepared for climate change | 58\% | 65\% | 56\% | 62\% | 57\% | 56\% | 64\% |
| Humanely cares for stray and neglected animals | 57\% | 60\% | 56\% | 60\% | 56\% | 53\% | 63\% |
| Low levels of incarceration | 52\% | 57\% | 50\% | 53\% | 51\% | 49\% | 56\% |
| Well-maintained and convenient library buildings | 51\% | 55\% | 50\% | 57\% | 48\% | 49\% | 56\% |
| Adequate retail and shopping opportunities | 44\% | 45\% | 43\% | 41\% | 46\% | 44\% | 43\% |

## Quality of Life Aspects by Gender and Age

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residents | Men | Women | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| Has low levels of homelessness | 91\% | 89\% | 92\% | 89\% | 89\% | 93\% | 93\% | 90\% | 89\% |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 88\% | 85\% | 92\% | 90\% | 90\% | 86\% | 88\% | 88\% | 85\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 87\% | 85\% | 89\% | 91\% | 84\% | 82\% | 88\% | 87\% | 92\% |
| Low levels of crime | 87\% | 85\% | 89\% | 80\% | 83\% | 93\% | 90\% | 93\% | 86\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 85\% | 82\% | 87\% | 93\% | 84\% | 88\% | 84\% | 81\% | 72\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 83\% | 82\% | 84\% | 79\% | 84\% | 85\% | 85\% | 89\% | 79\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 82\% | 77\% | 88\% | 79\% | 81\% | 81\% | 84\% | 90\% | 81\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 81\% | 75\% | 86\% | 80\% | 84\% | 79\% | 81\% | 80\% | 86\% |
| Has housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 79\% | 72\% | 85\% | 87\% | 78\% | 73\% | 74\% | 86\% | 72\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 79\% | 74\% | 84\% | 83\% | 78\% | 74\% | 81\% | 85\% | 78\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 78\% | 72\% | 83\% | 78\% | 74\% | 80\% | 80\% | 82\% | 73\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 78\% | 77\% | 80\% | 75\% | 79\% | 70\% | 84\% | 86\% | 73\% |

# Quality of Life Aspects by Gender and Age, Continued <br> (Extremely/Very Important) 

| Aspect | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residents | Men | Women | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 77\% | 72\% | 83\% | 74\% | 78\% | 75\% | 77\% | 82\% | 82\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 77\% | 72\% | 82\% | 74\% | 77\% | 68\% | 82\% | 84\% | 74\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 77\% | 74\% | 79\% | 76\% | 76\% | 71\% | 79\% | 77\% | 80\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 74\% | 73\% | 75\% | 70\% | 74\% | 69\% | 82\% | 75\% | 64\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 73\% | 71\% | 74\% | 73\% | 71\% | 74\% | 76\% | 77\% | 73\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 73\% | 69\% | 75\% | 72\% | 75\% | 74\% | 75\% | 74\% | 60\% |
| Low levels of air pollution | 67\% | 61\% | 71\% | 73\% | 60\% | 60\% | 67\% | 75\% | 70\% |
| A wide variety of local businesses | 67\% | 65\% | 70\% | 70\% | 70\% | 66\% | 67\% | 69\% | 63\% |
| Prepared for climate change | 58\% | 54\% | 62\% | 65\% | 58\% | 56\% | 54\% | 65\% | 52\% |
| Humanely cares for stray and neglected animals | 57\% | 52\% | 62\% | 67\% | 55\% | 54\% | 56\% | 59\% | 46\% |
| Low levels of incarceration | 52\% | 46\% | 56\% | 64\% | 45\% | 49\% | 47\% | 51\% | 58\% |
| Well-maintained and convenient library buildings | 51\% | 48\% | 54\% | 58\% | 50\% | 46\% | 53\% | 57\% | 40\% |
| Adequate retail and shopping opportunities | 44\% | 42\% | 46\% | 36\% | 44\% | 35\% | 53\% | 52\% | 44\% |

## Quality of Life Aspects by City/Area

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All <br> Residents | City/Town |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sacto. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Citrus } \\ & \text { Heights } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elk } \\ & \text { Gove } \end{aligned}$ | Folsom | *Galt | *Rancho Cordova | Unincorp. |
| Has low levels of homelessness | 91\% | 92\% | 90\% | 91\% | 88\% | 90\% | 94\% | 89\% |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 88\% | 88\% | 93\% | 90\% | 80\% | 97\% | 90\% | 88\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 87\% | 87\% | 82\% | 94\% | 90\% | 96\% | 87\% | 86\% |
| Low levels of crime | 87\% | 84\% | 91\% | 89\% | 95\% | 100\% | 83\% | 86\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 85\% | 86\% | 81\% | 88\% | 89\% | 93\% | 82\% | 83\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 83\% | 81\% | 83\% | 84\% | 91\% | 100\% | 81\% | 84\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 82\% | 83\% | 84\% | 79\% | 76\% | 76\% | 79\% | 85\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 81\% | 81\% | 86\% | 77\% | 82\% | 73\% | 87\% | 80\% |
| Has housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 79\% | 85\% | 71\% | 77\% | 72\% | 49\% | 84\% | 77\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 79\% | 79\% | 69\% | 86\% | 78\% | 73\% | 84\% | 79\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 78\% | 78\% | 77\% | 79\% | 83\% | 87\% | 86\% | 76\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 78\% | 77\% | 76\% | 74\% | 87\% | 91\% | 73\% | 79\% |

## Quality of Life Aspects by City/Area, Continued

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All Residents | City/Town |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sacto. | Citrus Heights | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Elk } \\ & \text { Gove } \end{aligned}$ | Folsom | *Galt | *Rancho Cordova | Unincorp. |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 77\% | 79\% | 81\% | 73\% | 76\% | 63\% | 63\% | 78\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 77\% | 72\% | 82\% | 81\% | 75\% | 76\% | 86\% | 78\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 77\% | 75\% | 78\% | 75\% | 81\% | 86\% | 65\% | 78\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 74\% | 71\% | 81\% | 79\% | 77\% | 77\% | 64\% | 74\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 73\% | 71\% | 79\% | 77\% | 70\% | 83\% | 58\% | 74\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 73\% | 74\% | 79\% | 68\% | 78\% | 79\% | 73\% | 70\% |
| Low levels of air pollution | 67\% | 70\% | 55\% | 74\% | 72\% | 52\% | 81\% | 61\% |
| A wide variety of local businesses | 67\% | 67\% | 64\% | 71\% | 63\% | 96\% | 63\% | 66\% |
| Prepared for climate change | 58\% | 62\% | 52\% | 68\% | 58\% | 24\% | 58\% | 55\% |
| Humanely cares for stray and neglected animals | 57\% | 58\% | 61\% | 50\% | 54\% | 46\% | 63\% | 60\% |
| Low levels of incarceration | 52\% | 58\% | 52\% | 43\% | 49\% | 20\% | 67\% | 49\% |
| Well-maintained and convenient library buildings | 51\% | 57\% | 44\% | 46\% | 42\% | 63\% | 47\% | 50\% |
| Adequate retail and shopping opportunities | 44\% | 43\% | 49\% | 42\% | 40\% | 47\% | 62\% | 42\% |

## Aspects of Quality of Life by Household Income

(Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All Residents | Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <\$50,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000- \\ & \$ 150,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$150,000+ |
| Has low levels of homelessness | 91\% | 95\% | 89\% | 91\% | 88\% |
| Prevention of child abuse and neglect | 88\% | 90\% | 89\% | 86\% | 85\% |
| A reliable supply of clean water | 87\% | 90\% | 86\% | 89\% | 83\% |
| Low levels of crime | 87\% | 84\% | 87\% | 85\% | 89\% |
| Enough good, well-paying jobs for local residents | 85\% | 88\% | 83\% | 88\% | 82\% |
| Elections administered fairly and transparently | 83\% | 81\% | 87\% | 83\% | 83\% |
| Adequate protections for vulnerable children and seniors | 82\% | 85\% | 82\% | 80\% | 81\% |
| Accessible mental health services | 81\% | 84\% | 80\% | 78\% | 81\% |
| Has housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 79\% | 92\% | 78\% | 76\% | 67\% |
| Prepared for public health emergencies | 79\% | 80\% | 78\% | 79\% | 78\% |
| Prepared for natural disasters and emergencies | 78\% | 80\% | 76\% | 83\% | 76\% |
| Well-maintained streets and roads | 78\% | 77\% | 76\% | 86\% | 72\% |

## Aspects of Quality of Life by Household Income, Continued <br> (Extremely/Very Important)

| Aspect | All Residents | Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <\$50,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000- \\ & \$ 150,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$150,000+ |
| Low levels of drug abuse and addiction | 77\% | 79\% | 75\% | 77\% | 74\% |
| Has seniors who are physically and mentally healthy and safe | 77\% | 79\% | 79\% | 74\% | 73\% |
| Properties that are clean, well-maintained, and safe | 77\% | 78\% | 73\% | 78\% | 74\% |
| Growth and development is carefully planned and managed | 74\% | 71\% | 72\% | 83\% | 75\% |
| Prompt waste disposal and recycling pickup | 73\% | 71\% | 76\% | 76\% | 70\% |
| Well-maintained parks and recreation areas | 73\% | 71\% | 68\% | 81\% | 75\% |
| Low levels of air pollution | 67\% | 71\% | 64\% | 68\% | 62\% |
| A wide variety of local businesses | 67\% | 66\% | 65\% | 70\% | 68\% |
| Prepared for climate change | 58\% | 60\% | 59\% | 57\% | 56\% |
| Humanely cares for stray and neglected animals | 57\% | 63\% | 58\% | 61\% | 46\% |
| Low levels of incarceration | 52\% | 58\% | 52\% | 51\% | 49\% |
| Well-maintained and convenient library buildings | 51\% | 60\% | 48\% | 53\% | 42\% |
| Adequate retail and shopping opportunities | 44\% | 45\% | 39\% | 46\% | 49\% |

## Satisfaction with parks and recreation is slightly higher in District 5.

| Service/Program | (Total Satisfied) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All Residents | Board of Supervisors |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Providing waste disposal and recycling services | 73\% | 72\% | 74\% | 74\% | 72\% | 70\% |
| Providing a reliable supply of clean water | 69\% | 65\% | 70\% | 70\% | 71\% | 68\% |
| Maintaining County parks and recreation areas | 65\% | 59\% | 68\% | 63\% | 65\% | 71\% |
| Maintaining library buildings | 59\% | 59\% | 65\% | 54\% | 56\% | 58\% |
| Administering elections | 57\% | 62\% | 57\% | 58\% | 54\% | 52\% |
| Providing humane animal care and control services | 55\% | 49\% | 58\% | 53\% | 57\% | 57\% |
| Preparing for public health emergencies | 50\% | 55\% | 50\% | 46\% | 50\% | 49\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies | 49\% | 49\% | 51\% | 45\% | 52\% | 47\% |
| Providing sheriff's patrols | 47\% | 48\% | 47\% | 44\% | 49\% | 47\% |
| Encouraging retail businesses | 44\% | 48\% | 53\% | 33\% | 42\% | 45\% |
| Attracting and retaining local businesses | 44\% | 45\% | 50\% | 38\% | 45\% | 43\% |
| Maintaining County streets and roads | 42\% | 43\% | 48\% | 35\% | 41\% | 41\% |

# Satisfaction ratings on mental health service provision are slightly higher in District 2. 

| Service/Program | (Total Satisfied) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | All <br> Residents | Board of Supervisors |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Providing senior services | 40\% | 38\% | 44\% | 34\% | 46\% | 38\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 40\% | 40\% | 49\% | 30\% | 42\% | 38\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 40\% | 41\% | 42\% | 33\% | 40\% | 41\% |
| Providing child and adult protective services | 39\% | 38\% | 45\% | 33\% | 46\% | 34\% |
| Planning to address the impacts of climate change | 37\% | 39\% | 45\% | 33\% | 33\% | 36\% |
| Preventing child abuse and neglect | 34\% | 34\% | 37\% | 27\% | 35\% | 33\% |
| Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes | 30\% | 26\% | 37\% | 35\% | 30\% | 22\% |
| Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs | 30\% | 30\% | 35\% | 27\% | 30\% | 27\% |
| Providing mental health services | 29\% | 26\% | 39\% | 27\% | 28\% | 25\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 29\% | 26\% | 36\% | 25\% | 29\% | 27\% |
| Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 27\% | 22\% | 30\% | 24\% | 34\% | 25\% |
| Monitoring people on probation | 25\% | 27\% | 32\% | 18\% | 24\% | 24\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 14\% | 16\% | 18\% | 12\% | 13\% | 12\% |

# Satisfaction ratings are similar among white residents and residents of color. 

(Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program | All Residents | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ Pacific Islander Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Providing waste disposal and recycling services | 73\% | 74\% | 78\% | 67\% | 74\% | 72\% |
| Providing a reliable supply of clean water | 69\% | 69\% | 72\% | 52\% | 73\% | 69\% |
| Maintaining County parks and recreation areas | 65\% | 66\% | 61\% | 65\% | 71\% | 65\% |
| Maintaining library buildings | 59\% | 56\% | 58\% | 64\% | 65\% | 61\% |
| Administering elections | 57\% | 55\% | 60\% | 62\% | 63\% | 59\% |
| Providing humane animal care and control services | 55\% | 55\% | 55\% | 59\% | 57\% | 56\% |
| Preparing for public health emergencies | 50\% | 48\% | 58\% | 43\% | 55\% | 52\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies | 49\% | 48\% | 57\% | 52\% | 46\% | 51\% |
| Providing sheriff's patrols | 47\% | 45\% | 52\% | 54\% | 53\% | 50\% |
| Encouraging retail businesses | 44\% | 37\% | 58\% | 52\% | 54\% | 52\% |
| Attracting and retaining local businesses | 44\% | 40\% | 55\% | 52\% | 49\% | 50\% |
| Maintaining County streets and roads | 42\% | 37\% | 46\% | 46\% | 53\% | 47\% |
| Q8. I am going to mention some services and pro job being done by the County in providing that p no opinion or don't know about a service I mention | rams that Sacr gram or service to you, you ca | ento County provid ery satisfied, so ell me that too. | vides. I would like mewhat satisfied, | you to tell me how mewhat dissatisfis | satisfied you are ed, or very dissatilis | ersonally with the fied. If you have |

## Latino residents are more satisfied with how the County is managing jobs and growth. <br> (TotalSatisfied)

| Service/Program | All <br> Residents | Race/Ethnicity |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | White Residents | Latino Residents | African American Residents | Asian/ Pacific Islander Residents | All Residents of Color |
| Providing senior services | 40\% | 37\% | 39\% | 43\% | 52\% | 43\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 40\% | 36\% | 51\% | 38\% | 46\% | 44\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 40\% | 35\% | 52\% | 38\% | 48\% | 44\% |
| Providing child and adult protective services | 39\% | 37\% | 49\% | 38\% | 40\% | 43\% |
| Planning to address the impacts of climate change | 37\% | 35\% | 47\% | 34\% | 41\% | 39\% |
| Preventing child abuse and neglect | 34\% | 28\% | 39\% | 32\% | 44\% | 38\% |
| Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes | 30\% | 27\% | 38\% | 21\% | 33\% | 33\% |
| Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs | 30\% | 23\% | 42\% | 30\% | 35\% | 35\% |
| Providing mental health services | 29\% | 24\% | 37\% | 30\% | 36\% | 34\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 29\% | 26\% | 35\% | 25\% | 37\% | 32\% |
| Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 27\% | 27\% | 32\% | 20\% | 27\% | 27\% |
| Monitoring people on probation | 25\% | 19\% | 33\% | 28\% | 34\% | 31\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 14\% | 8\% | 22\% | 17\% | 22\% | 19\% |

Q8. I am going to mention some services and programs that Sacramento County provides. I would like you to tell me how satisfied you are personally with the no opinion or don't know about a service I mention to you, you can tell me that too.

# Monolingual households are less satisfied with road maintenance. 

(Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program | All Res. | Language Other than English Spoken at Home |  | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Total Yes | Total No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Providing waste disposal and recycling services | 73\% | 73\% | 73\% | 71\% | 74\% | 77\% | 67\% |
| Providing a reliable supply of clean water | 69\% | 72\% | 68\% | 65\% | 72\% | 72\% | 65\% |
| Maintaining County parks and recreation areas | 65\% | 66\% | 66\% | 63\% | 68\% | 67\% | 62\% |
| Maintaining library buildings | 59\% | 63\% | 57\% | 60\% | 58\% | 59\% | 58\% |
| Administering elections | 57\% | 60\% | 56\% | 57\% | 57\% | 58\% | 55\% |
| Providing humane animal care and control services | 55\% | 53\% | 56\% | 58\% | 54\% | 59\% | 50\% |
| Preparing for public health emergencies | 50\% | 55\% | 48\% | 48\% | 52\% | 53\% | 46\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies | 49\% | 48\% | 50\% | 49\% | 50\% | 53\% | 43\% |
| Providing sheriff's patrols | 47\% | 50\% | 46\% | 42\% | 51\% | 47\% | 46\% |
| Encouraging retail businesses | 44\% | 49\% | 43\% | 42\% | 46\% | 45\% | 43\% |
| Attracting and retaining local businesses | 44\% | 50\% | 42\% | 43\% | 46\% | 44\% | 45\% |
| Maintaining County streets and roads | 42\% | 51\% | 39\% | 39\% | 44\% | 41\% | 43\% |

Q8. I am going to mention some services and programs that Sacramento County provides. I would like you to tell me how satisfied you are personally with the job being done by the County in providing that program or service: very satisfied, somewhat satisfied, somewhat dissatisfied, or very dissatisfied. If you have no opinion or don't know about a service I mention to you, you can tell me that too.

## Those without a tie to homelessness directly are slightly more satisfied with affordable housing. <br> (Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program | All Res. | Language Other than English Spoken at Home |  | Tie to Homelessness |  | Residence |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Yes | No | Yes | No | Homeowners | Renters |
| Providing senior services | 40\% | 46\% | 38\% | 39\% | 40\% | 41\% | 41\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 40\% | 45\% | 38\% | 40\% | 40\% | 39\% | 44\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 40\% | 46\% | 37\% | 37\% | 42\% | 43\% | 35\% |
| Providing child and adult protective services | 39\% | 43\% | 38\% | 38\% | 40\% | 40\% | 37\% |
| Planning to address the impacts of climate change | 37\% | 43\% | 35\% | 38\% | 36\% | 39\% | 34\% |
| Preventing child abuse and neglect | 34\% | 35\% | 33\% | 31\% | 35\% | 34\% | 31\% |
| Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes | 30\% | 35\% | 28\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% | 30\% |
| Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs | 30\% | 39\% | 26\% | 29\% | 30\% | 30\% | 29\% |
| Providing mental health services | 29\% | 37\% | 27\% | 30\% | 29\% | 27\% | 33\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 29\% | 34\% | 27\% | 28\% | 30\% | 27\% | 31\% |
| Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 27\% | 33\% | 25\% | 21\% | 31\% | 30\% | 21\% |
| Monitoring people on probation | 25\% | 32\% | 23\% | 27\% | 25\% | 23\% | 28\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 14\% | 23\% | 12\% | 12\% | 16\% | 13\% | 16\% |

## Satisfaction by Gender and Age

(Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program |  | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residents | Men | Women | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| Providing waste disposal and recycling services | 73\% | 73\% | 74\% | 69\% | 71\% | 66\% | 77\% | 72\% | 84\% |
| Providing a reliable supply of clean water | 69\% | 72\% | 66\% | 68\% | 70\% | 66\% | 68\% | 65\% | 81\% |
| Maintaining County parks and recreation areas | 65\% | 66\% | 66\% | 63\% | 64\% | 63\% | 67\% | 67\% | 74\% |
| Maintaining library buildings | 59\% | 61\% | 57\% | 55\% | 53\% | 70\% | 58\% | 57\% | 63\% |
| Administering elections | 57\% | 59\% | 54\% | 56\% | 47\% | 56\% | 62\% | 62\% | 58\% |
| Providing humane animal care and control services | 55\% | 55\% | 57\% | 46\% | 52\% | 61\% | 62\% | 53\% | 63\% |
| Preparing for public health emergencies | 50\% | 55\% | 48\% | 42\% | 50\% | 50\% | 51\% | 53\% | 65\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies | 49\% | 53\% | 47\% | 40\% | 48\% | 45\% | 55\% | 50\% | 63\% |
| Providing sheriff's patrols | 47\% | 48\% | 48\% | 43\% | 43\% | 52\% | 47\% | 50\% | 56\% |
| Encouraging retail businesses | 44\% | 46\% | 44\% | 45\% | 41\% | 44\% | 45\% | 41\% | 57\% |
| Attracting and retaining local businesses | 44\% | 45\% | 45\% | 46\% | 40\% | 44\% | 46\% | 39\% | 57\% |
| Maintaining County streets and roads | 42\% | 42\% | 42\% | 45\% | 41\% | 39\% | 41\% | 35\% | 52\% |

## Satisfaction by Gender and Age, Continued

(Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program | All | Gender |  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Residents | Men | Women | 18-29 | 30-39 | 40-49 | 50-64 | 65-74 | 75+ |
| Providing senior services | 40\% | 44\% | 37\% | 38\% | 33\% | 39\% | 42\% | 46\% | 53\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 40\% | 41\% | 40\% | 35\% | 38\% | 48\% | 41\% | 37\% | 42\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 40\% | 44\% | 37\% | 36\% | 37\% | 39\% | 41\% | 36\% | 55\% |
| Providing child and adult protective services | 39\% | 46\% | 35\% | 33\% | 36\% | 40\% | 39\% | 48\% | 50\% |
| Planning to address the impacts of climate change | 37\% | 40\% | 36\% | 28\% | 31\% | 40\% | 41\% | 40\% | 49\% |
| Preventing child abuse and neglect | 34\% | 35\% | 33\% | 30\% | 32\% | 34\% | 36\% | 34\% | 38\% |
| Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes | 30\% | 36\% | 26\% | 26\% | 28\% | 28\% | 35\% | 28\% | 39\% |
| Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs | 30\% | 36\% | 24\% | 29\% | 29\% | 30\% | 28\% | 35\% | 35\% |
| Providing mental health services | 29\% | 34\% | 26\% | 32\% | 24\% | 27\% | 31\% | 28\% | 36\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 29\% | 33\% | 27\% | 26\% | 30\% | 26\% | 35\% | 24\% | 33\% |
| Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 27\% | 34\% | 22\% | 21\% | 24\% | 23\% | 34\% | 26\% | 44\% |
| Monitoring people on probation | 25\% | 29\% | 23\% | 27\% | 34\% | 19\% | 26\% | 22\% | 24\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 14\% | 15\% | 14\% | 15\% | 10\% | 14\% | 15\% | 16\% | 17\% |

## Satisfaction by City/Area

| Service/Program | All Residents | (Total Satisfied) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | City/Town |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Sacto. | Citrus Heights | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elk } \\ \text { Gove } \end{gathered}$ | Folsom | *Galt | *Rancho Cordova | Unincorp. |
| Providing waste disposal and recycling services | 73\% | 72\% | 77\% | 75\% | 67\% | 50\% | 64\% | 74\% |
| Providing a reliable supply of clean water | 69\% | 66\% | 68\% | 74\% | 62\% | 40\% | 59\% | 73\% |
| Maintaining County parks and recreation areas | 65\% | 61\% | 65\% | 79\% | 58\% | 49\% | 61\% | 68\% |
| Maintaining library buildings | 59\% | 61\% | 53\% | 57\% | 55\% | 58\% | 58\% | 59\% |
| Administering elections | 57\% | 61\% | 46\% | 54\% | 68\% | 33\% | 48\% | 55\% |
| Providing humane animal care and control services | 55\% | 53\% | 55\% | 62\% | 55\% | 14\% | 62\% | 56\% |
| Preparing for public health emergencies | 50\% | 53\% | 47\% | 56\% | 48\% | 30\% | 40\% | 49\% |
| Preparing for natural disasters and emergencies | 49\% | 49\% | 50\% | 46\% | 50\% | 27\% | 47\% | 52\% |
| Providing sheriff's patrols | 47\% | 47\% | 53\% | 53\% | 44\% | 33\% | 43\% | 46\% |
| Encouraging retail businesses | 44\% | 50\% | 38\% | 52\% | 44\% | 30\% | 42\% | 39\% |
| Attracting and retaining local businesses | 44\% | 46\% | 42\% | 48\% | 47\% | 13\% | 40\% | 44\% |
| Maintaining County streets and roads | 42\% | 48\% | 43\% | 49\% | 47\% | 14\% | 36\% | 35\% |

## Satisfaction by City/Area, Continued

(Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program | All Residents | City/Town |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sacto. | Citrus Heights | $\begin{gathered} \text { Elk } \\ \text { Gove } \end{gathered}$ | Folsom | *Galt | *Rancho Cordova | Unincorp. |
| Providing senior services | 40\% | 40\% | 45\% | 46\% | 42\% | 0\% | 41\% | 39\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 40\% | 45\% | 49\% | 36\% | 38\% | 26\% | 41\% | 36\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 40\% | 41\% | 45\% | 41\% | 30\% | 37\% | 44\% | 38\% |
| Providing child and adult protective services | 39\% | 41\% | 49\% | 35\% | 44\% | 33\% | 32\% | 38\% |
| Planning to address the impacts of climate change | 37\% | 41\% | 34\% | 40\% | 28\% | 13\% | 33\% | 37\% |
| Preventing child abuse and neglect | 34\% | 36\% | 38\% | 38\% | 27\% | 5\% | 37\% | 31\% |
| Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes | 30\% | 29\% | 36\% | 23\% | 22\% | 16\% | 17\% | 36\% |
| Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs | 30\% | 31\% | 33\% | 26\% | 28\% | 24\% | 27\% | 30\% |
| Providing mental health services | 29\% | 32\% | 29\% | 28\% | 25\% | 9\% | 26\% | 30\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 29\% | 28\% | 33\% | 28\% | 23\% | 4\% | 29\% | 31\% |
| Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 27\% | 27\% | 32\% | 16\% | 37\% | 41\% | 40\% | 26\% |
| Monitoring people on probation | 25\% | 28\% | 18\% | 27\% | 20\% | 23\% | 23\% | 25\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 14\% | 16\% | 12\% | 9\% | 10\% | 13\% | 24\% | 14\% |

## Satisfaction by Household Income

| Service/Program | Hotal Satisfied) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Satisfaction by Household Income, Continued

(Total Satisfied)

| Service/Program | All Residents | Household Income |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | <\$50,000 | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 50,000- \\ & \$ 100,000 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 100,000- \\ & \$ 150,000 \end{aligned}$ | \$150,000+ |
| Providing senior services | 40\% | 40\% | 42\% | 37\% | 38\% |
| Encouraging job creation | 40\% | 40\% | 45\% | 39\% | 40\% |
| Planning for careful growth and development | 40\% | 36\% | 41\% | 41\% | 40\% |
| Providing child and adult protective services | 39\% | 39\% | 39\% | 42\% | 39\% |
| Planning to address the impacts of climate change | 37\% | 43\% | 36\% | 38\% | 29\% |
| Preventing child abuse and neglect | 34\% | 34\% | 37\% | 30\% | 28\% |
| Providing alternatives to jail and prison for less-serious crimes | 30\% | 31\% | 33\% | 33\% | 22\% |
| Providing drug use treatment and prevention programs | 30\% | 34\% | 29\% | 36\% | 21\% |
| Providing mental health services | 29\% | 32\% | 29\% | 31\% | 23\% |
| Enforcing nuisance codes | 29\% | 31\% | 32\% | 30\% | 21\% |
| Creating housing affordable to low- and middle-income families | 27\% | 26\% | 23\% | 28\% | 32\% |
| Monitoring people on probation | 25\% | 27\% | 28\% | 22\% | 19\% |
| Preventing homelessness | 14\% | 16\% | 12\% | 15\% | 12\% |

## Allocation of \$100 Budget by Age

Allocation of \$100 Budget by Age

■ Public safety
$\square$ Social services

■ Homelessness
Economic development

■ Infrastructure

- Parks and environment

| $18-29$ | $\$ 15.40$ | $\$ 23.30$ | $\$ 16.70$ | $\$ 16.50$ | $\$ 16.60$ | $\$ 11.60$ |
| ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $30-39$ | $\$ 19.10$ | $\$ 20.60$ | $\$ 17.40$ | $\$ 16.30$ | $\$ 15.30$ | $\$ 11.30$ |
| $40-49$ | $\$ 22.70$ | $\$ 19.20$ | $\$ 15.20$ | $\$ 15.60$ | $\$ 15.00$ | $\$ 12.40$ |
| $50-64$ | $\$ 24.40$ | $\$ 19.60$ | $\$ 18.20$ | $\$ 15.10$ | $\$ 12.60$ | $\$ 10.20$ |
| $65-74$ | $\$ 25.20$ | $\$ 19.20$ | $\$ 19.10$ | $\$ 15.90$ | $\$ 11.00$ | $\$ 9.60$ |
| $75+$ | $\$ 25.90$ | $\$ 16.60$ | $\$ 19.80$ | $\$ 16.00$ | $\$ 12.30$ | $\$ 9.30$ |

## Allocation of \$100 Budget by City/Area

| Allocation of \$100 Budget by City/Town |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| - Public safety |  | ■ Homelessness |  | - Infrastructure |  |  |
| - Social services |  | - Economic development |  | - Parks and environment |  |  |
| Sacramento | \$19.10 | \$21.10 | \$16.30 | \$16.90 | \$15.10 | \$11.60 |
| Citrus Heights | \$23.40 | \$19.90 | \$19.20 | \$15.70 | \$11.50 | \$10.20 |
| Elk Gove | \$22.00 | \$16.10 | \$17.60 | \$17.50 | \$15.80 | \$10.90 |
| Folsom | \$25.40 | \$19.60 | \$17.90 | \$13.40 | \$12.60 | \$11.10 |
| *Galt |  | 8.80 | \$12.20 | \$12.80 \$ | \$10.00\$7.6 | 60\$8.60 |
| *Rancho Cordova | \$19.10 | \$22.30 | \$17.60 | \$16.00 | \$13.90 | \$11.10 |
| Unincorporated | \$21.50 | \$20.70 | \$18.30 | \$15.40 | \$13.50 | \$10.60 |

## Allocation of \$100 Budget by Household Income

Allocation of \$100 Budget by Household Income

- Public safety
- Social services
$\square$ Homelessness
Economic development
$\square$ Infrastructure
- Parks and environment

| $<\$ 60,000$ | $\$ 17.70$ | $\$ 21.90$ | $\$ 16.20$ | $\$ 18.00$ | $\$ 15.20$ | $\$ 11.00$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\$ 100,000-\$ 150,000$ | $\$ 20.80$ | $\$ 18.80$ | $\$ 18.80$ | $\$ 14.70$ | $\$ 15.30$ | $\$ 11.60$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Allocation of \$100 Budget by Language Other Than English Spoken at Home

## Allocation of $\$ 100$ Budget by Language Other Than English Spoken at Home

| $\square$ Public safety | $\square$ Homelessness | $\square$ Infrastructure |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\square$ Social services | $\square$ Economic development | Parks and environment |


| Yes | $\$ 18.60$ | $\$ 19.30$ | $\$ 16.60$ | $\$ 17.10$ | $\$ 16.80$ | $\$ 11.50$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| $\$ 22.60$ | $\$ 20.30$ | $\$ 17.70$ | $\$ 15.50$ | $\$ 13.10$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |$\$ 10.80$

